	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/069,388	BLATT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan T. Tran	1615
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final</u>		
(1) <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .	(3) <u>Ada Gogorio</u> .	
(2) <u>S. Peter Ludwig</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 03/04/04	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: of record  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
an Examiner's Amendment was suggested to place this application in condition for allowance, however, no response has been received.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview</li> </ul>		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	ary by the examiner appears in	Part II above.
		•
Ken Totson		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)